

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

CHARLESTON DIVISION

JONATHAN KEITH CHILDRESS,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-cv-00752

REGIONAL JAIL AUTHORITY,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff’s Complaint. (ECF No. 2.) By Standing Order entered in this case on October 16, 2019, this action was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Dwane L. Tinsley for submission of proposed findings and a recommendation for disposition (“PF&R”). Magistrate Judge Tinsley filed his PF&R on August 29, 2022, recommending that this Court dismiss this Complaint and this civil action, as well as deny Plaintiff’s Application to Proceed without Prepayment of Fees or Costs, (ECF No. 1), (ECF No. 13.)

This Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). In addition, this Court need not conduct a *de novo* review when a party “makes

general and conclusory objections that do not direct the Court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." *Orpiano v. Johnson*, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982).

Objections to the PF&R were due by September 15, 2022. (ECF No. 13.) To date, Plaintiff has failed to submit any objections in response to the PF&R, thus constituting a waiver of *de novo* review and Plaintiff's right to appeal this Court's order.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the PF&R, (ECF No. 13), **DENYS** Plaintiff's Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs, (ECF No. 1), and **DISMISSES** Plaintiff's Complaint, (ECF No. 2), and this action. The Court further **DIRECTS** the Clerk to remove this matter from the Court's docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to counsel of record and any unrepresented party.

ENTER: September 20, 2022



THOMAS E. JOHNSTON, CHIEF JUDGE